



4. Relationship with Non-members 非加盟国との関係

Purpose 目的

To discuss the situation with non-members.

非加盟国との関係を議論すること

Discussion 議論

Indonesia インドネシア

The Executive Secretary met with officials from the Indonesian Ministry for Foreign Affairs in February 2005 to discuss Indonesia's willingness to become a cooperating non-member of the CCSBT

事務局長は、CCSBT の協力的非加盟国になるというインドネシアの意志を確認するため、2005 年 2 月インドネシア外務省職員と会合を持った。

At this meeting the Ministry of Foreign Affairs advised the Executive Secretary that Indonesia would not be applying for cooperating non-membership at least until 2008. The implications for Indonesia not becoming a cooperating no-member were explained and this was followed up with a formal letter. A copy of this letter is at Attachment A.

会合で外務省は事務局長に対し、インドネシアは少なくとも 2008 年まで協力的非加盟国の申請は行わないことを伝えた。事務局長は、協力的非加盟国にならない場合の影響を説明し、かつ後日正式な書簡を発出した。この書簡の写しは別紙 A。

While Indonesia has acknowledged receipt of the Executive Secretary's letter, no formal reply has been received.

インドネシアは事務局長からの書簡を受けとったことを認めているが、正式な返答はまだ無い。

Indonesia was originally identified for attention under the CCSBT Action Plan. Action has been held in abeyance because of Indonesia's earlier expressed interest in becoming a cooperating non-member. In these circumstances Indonesia has been identified for consideration under the Action Plan – Agenda Item 18.

インドネシアは元々CCSBT の行動計画の下で特定されたが、インドネシアの早期に協力的非加盟国になるという表明により、行動そのものは中止されていた。しかしこの状況において、インドネシアは行動計画のもと考慮のために特定された（議題 18）。

South Africa 南アフリカ（以下、南ア）

South Africa sought cooperating non-membership of the CCSBT in May 2005. A copy of its application is at Attachment B.

南アは 2005 年 5 月 CCSBT の協力的非加盟国になることを求めた。そのときの申請の写しは別紙 B。

South Africa's application accepted a catch limit of 30 tonnes for targeted SBT fishing but no limit in respect to bycatch. The South African proposal was circulated to members for intersessional consideration but no decision was made.

南アの申請書では、SBT を対象とする漁業における漁獲制限 30 トンは認めているが、混獲における漁獲制限は設定していない。南アの申請書は閉会期間中メンバーの検討のため回章されたが何ら決定はされていない。

South Africa's application has been listed for consideration under Agenda Item 9 – Cooperating Non-members.

南アの申請は、議題 9 協力的非加盟国のもとで検討するため挙げられている。

Despite requests from the Secretariat, South Africa has not been reporting SBT catch. 事務局の要請にもかかわらず、南アは SBT 漁獲の報告を行っていない。

China

中国

The Executive Secretary wrote to China on 19 May 2004 seeking advice on China's intentions with regard to the SBT fishery. The letter was prompted by information from the TIS scheme that China was catching SBT and exporting product to members.

事務局長は 2004 年 5 月 19 日、中国の SBT 漁業の意向を探るため中国に対し書簡を出した。これは、TIS により中国が SBT を漁獲し製品をメンバーに輸出しているという情報により行われた。

No reply has been received to the Executive Secretary's letter.

事務局長の書簡に対する返答は無い。

Spain

スペイン

The IOTC reported to the Secretariat that some 3.4 tonnes of SBT catch had been reported by Spain in 2003. The Secretariat sought advice from Spain concerning the nature and circumstances of the catch.

2003 年 IOTC は事務局に対し、3.4 トン程度の SBT をスペインが漁獲したことを報告した。事務局はスペインに対しどのような性質及び状況における漁獲であったかを質した。

In reply Spain indicated that a further 1 tonne was caught in 2004 and that the catch in both years was by a small number of Spanish longliners undertaking exploratory fishing for new fishing grounds in the southern Indian and Pacific Oceans. The vessels were observed by Spanish Government officials.

スペインの返答では、2004 年にも 1 トンよりも多い漁獲があり、両年共に南インド洋及び太平洋における新しい漁場開発を試みた少数のスペインはえ縄漁船の漁獲であったことが示唆された。漁船はスペイン政府職員によって監視された。

Fiji

フィジー

In August 2005, the Secretariat was contacted by a fish receiver in Fiji regarding SBT catch from an Indonesian vessel. The vessel was on the Fisheries Forum Agency list of vessels of good standing. The fish receiver was advised that the CCSBT regarded the catch as illegal and that it should not be traded.

2005年8月、フィジーの魚荷受けよりインドネシアの船がSBTを漁獲している旨の連絡を受けた。その船は、FFAの漁船リストに優良船（グットスタンディング）として載っていた。CCSBTより荷受けに対し、その漁獲は違法であり取引する物ではない旨通知した。

In the context of this exchange the fish receiver indicated to the Secretariat that Fijian authorities had refused to issue export documentation and was therefore honouring its commitment to cooperate with the CCSBT.

これらのやりとりの中で荷受けは事務局に対し、フィジー当局は輸出関連書類の発行を拒否しており、これはCCSBTとの協力約束を尊重しているためであるとした。

USA アメリカ合衆国

The USA has passed legislation with effect from 1 July 2005 to recognise the catch document scheme of the CCSBT (and other regional fisheries management organisations). Unless a consignment of SBT has an approved CCSBT trade document, including a vessel on the CCSBT list of authorised vessels, it may not be imported into the USA.

アメリカ合衆国は、CCSBTの漁獲統計スキーム（また、他の地域漁業管理機関の）を認めるため2005年7月1日から効力を持つ法制度を可決した。送られてきたSBTがCCSBT許可船リストに載っている漁船によって漁獲されたかを含む、承認されたCCSBTの貿易書類を備えない限り、それはアメリカ合衆国に輸入されないであろう。

The Secretariat is endeavouring to have this cooperative effort extended to include the return of CCSBT trade documents to the Secretariat for reconciliation and trade identification purposes.

事務局は貿易状況の確認を目的に、事務局へのCCSBT貿易書類の返還を含めるためにこの協力を拡大する努力を行っている。

Prepared by the Secretariat

事務局作成資料

Commission for the Conservation of
Southern Bluefin Tuna



みなみまぐろ保存委員会

29 June 2005

Ms Esti Andayani
Director Commodity and Standardisation
Jl. Taman Pejambon No 6
Jakarta 10110
INDONESIA

Dear Ms Andayani

At our meeting on 11 May 2005 we agreed that I would write to Indonesia confirming the outcome of the meeting concerning Indonesia's intentions to become a cooperating non-member of the Commission for the Conservation of Southern Bluefin Tuna (CCSBT). The purpose of this letter is to document that outcome.

In response to the CCSBT's invitation to Indonesia to become a cooperating non-member you advised me that Indonesia would not be applying for at least twelve months and most likely not before 2008. You said the issue of applying to become a cooperating non-member had been discussed among stakeholders in Indonesia and that there were concerns about the responsibilities of cooperating non-membership and the catch limit agreed by the CCSBT for Indonesia.

You also indicated that Indonesia was unable to become involved in all international organisations and that some prioritisation was required. In this context, priority was being given to the Indian Ocean Tuna Commission.

I have advised CCSBT members of Indonesia's decision and they are disappointed, particularly as Indonesia is fishing in the only known spawning ground with significant implications for conservation and management of the stock. There is an expectation among members that, in these circumstances, Indonesia should be more accepting of its international responsibilities as a participant in the fishery. In effect, Indonesia is a participant in a fishery managed by a regional fisheries management organisation with which Indonesia is obliged under UNCLOS to cooperate.

On the basis that Indonesia does not intend to seek the status of a cooperating non-member in the near future it is deemed by the Commission to be IUU fishing for SBT and the Commission's IUU Resolution (enclosed) therefore applies to Indonesia. Consequently, and as explained at our meeting, Indonesia's decision will have implications for trade in southern bluefin tuna. CCSBT decisions to manage the stock include the maintenance of a list of vessels authorised to fish for southern bluefin tuna and a trade documentation scheme linked to the vessel list. Under the IUU Resolution agreed in 2003 and amended in 2004, only vessels from members and cooperating non-members will be added to the authorised vessel list and trade documents will only be valid if they incorporate a vessel on the authorised list.

As of the 1 July 2005 the resolution will apply to all vessels (irrespective of length) and to fresh and frozen product. As Indonesia's catch will not have valid statistical documents, CCSBT members and CNMs are obliged to prohibit the import of SBT from Indonesia.

The United States of America, in an amendment to Parts 300 and 635 of its Code of Federal Regulations (50 CFR), has recognised the CCSBT's trade document and a valid CCSBT trade document will also be required after 1 July 2005 for all imports, including from Indonesian, of southern bluefin tuna into the United States of America.

The Commission may also decide to introduce further trade restrictive measures in the future under its Action Plan (enclosed) specifically under its paragraph 6.

The CCSBT sees the current situation as regrettable but the responsible management of the southern bluefin tuna fishery must be given the highest priority. The CCSBT has asked me to request that Indonesia review its decision not to become a cooperating non-member and lodge an application as discussed previously as soon as possible.

Yours sincerely

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Brian Macdonald". The signature is fluid and cursive, with "Brian" on the top line and "Macdonald" on the bottom line.

Brian Macdonald
Executive Secretary



**DEPARTMENT: ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS AND TOURISM
REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA**

Private Bag X2, Roggebaai, 8012, Tel: (+27 21) 402 3911, Fax: (+27 21) 425 2920
Website: www.environment.gov.za

Mr B Macdonald
Executive Secretary
CCSBT
PO Box 37
Deakin West
ACT 2600
AUSTRALIA

Dear Mr Macdonald

Thank you for your letter of 1 December 2004 in which you outline the offer of SBT catch allocation, the CCSBT is willing to make to South Africa.

I have now had the opportunity to confer with colleagues and members of our tuna industry. It is on the basis of this that I am now responding to you.

We must at the outset express our deep displeasure at the offer that South Africa be allocated merely 30mt on an annual basis. Our dismay is based on the fact that CCSBT appears not to recognize that SA is a range state with the closest proximity to the SBT winter feeding grounds as opposed to breeding grounds. An allocation of the kind proposed effectively prevents SA as a developing country from building a viable tuna longline fishery of any meaningful scale.

South Africa also notes that some distant water fishing fleets with substantial SBT allocations have repeatedly failed to catch the allocations made to them. We are thus all the more puzzled at the minuscule allocation made to South Africa. It would appear that South Africa as though being penalised due to excessive catches made by some SBT members. With hind sight it would seem that South Africa's own restraint in regard to SBT catches, whilst we operated an experimental tuna fishery, counts against South Africa getting a fairer share of the SBT TAC.

South Africa can only accept the offer of 30 mt if it applies to a directed SBT fishery but not to a bycatch of SBT, and then only in the interim, short term. South Africa has zero discard policy except for shark and bill fish and it is thus unable to allow any fish caught in access of

South Africa has recently allocated medium term quota rights to longline vessels able to catch tuna. This is done to develop our industry beyond that of an experimental fishery. The 30 mt allocation imposes severe unacceptable restrictions on this newly established fishery if SBT were not to be treated as a bycatch at certain times of the year. South Africa also notes that some member countries have caught almost 1000 mt SBT as bycatch.

~~indicates its willingness to submit full details to the Commission. This would constitute those~~
that would target tuna and would amongst others catch SBT. A full list will be prepared and forwarded to you in due course.

On the basis of the above approach South Africa hereby request the status of a cooperating non-member state. Should this require any further clarification or formal documentation kindly revert to me.

Yours sincerely

PP *H.Kleinschmidt*

Horst Kleinschmidt

DEPUTY DIRECTOR-GENERAL: MARINE AND COASTAL MANAGEMENT

DATE: 25.01.05