

An Update on the Status and Trends of Albatrosses and Petrels Listed Under Annex 1 of the ACAP Agreement

Author - ACAP

INTRODUCTION

There are many species of seabirds that have been recorded as bycatch in pelagic longline fisheries. The majority of these, both in terms of species and numbers caught, are albatrosses and petrels (Anderson *et al.* 2011; Ryan *et al.* 2002). Of the 22 species of albatrosses found globally, 18 occur in the Southern Bluefin Tuna (SBT) fishery, as do all seven of the petrel species listed under the Agreement on the Conservation of Albatrosses and Petrels (ACAP). This paper provides asummary of the status and trends of albatross and petrel species listed under Annex 1 of ACAP that breed and/or forage in areas where Southern Bluefin tuna are fished.

CONSERVATION STATUS

Of the18species of albatrosses found in the SBT fishery, the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN)lists:

- two as Critically Endangered (CR),
- five as Endangered (EN),
- seven as Vulnerable (VU) and
- four as Near Threatened (NT).

Of the seven ACAP petrel species,

- four are listed as VU.
- one as NT and
- two as Least Concern (LC).

Annex 1below summarises status and trend information for these species. The information has been drawn from data submitted to the ACAP database(data.acap.aq), as well as from the IUCN Red List of Threatened Species(www.iucnredlist.org). More information can also be found in the species assessments developed by ACAP(http://www.acap.aq/acap-species) which provide comprehensive and current information on the conservation status, biology and threats facing all ACAP species.

STATUS OF KNOWLEDGE RELATING TO POPULATION SIZE AND TRENDS

Comprehensive knowledge of population size, trend and demographic parameters are fundamental to many aspects of albatross and petrel conservation, and vital to monitoring the effectiveness of management actions. Although the size of most populations has been determined at some point in time, the trend and current demographic statistics for many populations are not known, due to the high level of resources required to access remote sites at appropriate intervals. Determination of global trends canalso be difficult because populations within a species may show different trajectories at different sites.

Estimates of bycatch in global longline fisheries indicate that between 160,000 – 320,000 seabirds, mostly albatrosses, petrels and shearwaters, are killed each year (Anderson *et al.* 2011). These estimates may be understated by as much as 50% or more due to lack of observer data or under-reporting (Anderson *et al.* 2011). Such levels of incidental mortality are known to haveresulted in or are contributing to population declines for a number of these species (e.g. Wanless *et al.* 2009; Weimerskirch *et al.* 1997).

At the most recent meeting of ACAP's Advisory Committee in August 2011, ACAP and BirdLife International (a partner organization contributing to the IUCNRed List of Threatened Species) agreed to work together on the re-evaluation of the global trends of ACAP species in 2012. This analysis will draw on the population data held in the ACAP database, and will provide a more comprehensive evaluation of the status and trends of species listed under the Agreement. This information will be provided to the next meeting of the ERSWG.

REFERENCES

Anderson, O. R. J., Small, C. J., Croxall, J. P., Dunn, E. K., Sullivan, B. J. Yates, O. and Black, A. 2011. Global seabird bycatch in longline fisheries. *Endangered Species Research***14**: 91-106.

Ryan, R.G., Keith, D.G. and Kroese, M. 2002. Seabird bycatch by tuna longline fisheries off southern Africa, 1998-2000. *South African Journal of Marine Science***24**:103-110.

Wanless, R. M., Ryan, P.G, ALtwegg, R., Angel, A., Cooper, J., Cuthbert, R., and Hilton, G.M. 2009. From both sides: Dire demographic consequences of carnivorous mice and longlining for the Critically Endangered Tristan albatrosses on Gough Island. *Biological Conservation* 142: 1710-1718.

Weimerskirch, H. N., Brothers, N., and Jouventin, P. 1997. Population dynamics of wandering albatross *Diomedea exulans* and Amsterdam albatross *D. amsterdamensis* in the Indian Ocean and their relationships with long-line fisheries: Conservation implications. *Biological Conservation***79**: 257-270.

ANNEX 1. 2011 SUMMARY OF STATUS AND TRENDSOF ACAP ALBATROSS AND PETREL SPECIES BREEDING/FORAGING IN THE SBTFISHERY AREA.

IUCN Status: CR =Critically Endangered, EN = Endangered, VU = Vulnerable, NT = Near Threatened, LC = Least Concern

Site: usually an entire, distinct island or islet, or section of a large island

Breeding Frequency: A = Annual, B = Biennial **Trend:** \uparrow increasing, \downarrow declining, \leftrightarrow stable, ? unknown

Common name	Scientific name		Country	Breeding Frequency	Annual breeding pairs (ACAP) 1	IUCN Status 2011	IUCN Trend	IUCN Justification ²	ACAP notes
Amsterdam Albatross	Diomedea amsterdamensis	1	France	В	30	CR	V	Extremely small population, confined to a tiny area on one island. Although the population has increased since the 1980s, it is believed to have suffered severe declines in the 1970s, and so, over three generations (c.82 years), has almost certainly declined overall. A continuing decline is projected owing to the impact of a disease which is probably already causing chick mortality.	
Tristan Albatross	Diomedea dabbenena	1	UK	В	1,698	CR	V	Extremely small breeding range and a projected extremely rapid population decline over three generations (70 years). Modelled population declines are a consequence of very low adult survival owing to incidental mortality in longline fisheries, compounded by low fledging success caused by predation of chicks by introduced mice.	
Atlantic yellow- nosed Albatross	Thalassarche chlororhynchos	6	UK	А	33,650	EN	\	Very small breeding range and is estimated to be undergoing a very rapid ongoing decline projected over three generations (72 years) owing to incidental mortality in longline fisheries.	Need more recent data to confirm trend
Black-browed Albatross	Thalassarche melanophris	65		А	672,412	EN	V	Estimated to be declining at a very rapid rate over three generations (65 years) on the basis of current rates of decline at some large breeding colonies in the south-west Atlantic. These declines have been attributed to the impact of incidental mortality in longline and trawl fisheries.	No trend data from southern Chile, where c. 20% of population breeds
Indian yellow- nosed Albatross	Thalassarche carteri	6		А	39,319	EN	\	Estimated very rapid ongoing decline over three generations (71 years), based on data from the population stronghold on Amsterdam Island. This decline is the result of adult mortality and poor recruitment owing to interactions with fisheries and disease.	
Northern royal Albatross	Diomedea sanfordi	5	NZ	В	5,832	EN	V	Restricted to a tiny breeding range in which severe storms in the 1980s resulted in a decrease in habitat quality, which led to poor breeding success. Therefore projected to undergo a very rapid decline over the next three	Need more recent data to confirm trend

Common name	Scientific name		Country	Breeding Frequency	Annual breeding pairs (ACAP) 1	IUCN Status 2011	IUCN Trend	IUCN Justification ²	ACAP notes
								generations (84 years). However, in spite of the extensive reduction in productivity over a 20 year period, the number of breeding pairs may have remained relatively stable. Additional data may lead to a review of threat status.	
Sooty Albatross	Phoebetria fusca	15		В	13,674	EN	\	Very rapid decline over three generations (90 years), probably owing to interactions with fisheries. However, trends at three sites have been more severe, and the species could be uplisted to CR if these trends are found to be more general.	
Antipodean Albatross	Diomedea antipodensis	6	NZ	В	8,272	VU	\	Largely confined to three small islands when breeding and is therefore highly susceptible to stochastic effects and human impacts. Recent data (2005-2008) from the Auckland Islands indicate declines in adult survival, productivity and recruitment, which, if confirmed by further monitoring, could result in a reclassification of EN or CR.	
Black Petrel	Procellaria parkinsoni	2	NZ	А	1,000	VU	\leftrightarrow	Breeds on just two very small islands where introduced predators are a potential threat. The population is assumed to be stable, but if a decline is detected, the species should be uplisted to EN.	Most recent data supports decline
Campbell Albatross	Thalassarche impavida	2	NZ	А	22,093	VU	1	Breeding is restricted to a single location, where it is susceptible to potential human impacts and stochastic events. Although numbers decreased steeply between the 1970s and 1980s owing to interactions with fisheries, the population is now thought to be increasing, although there has not been a census since 1996.	Need recent data to confirm trend
Chatham Albatross	Thalassarche eremita	1	NZ	А	5,245	VU	\leftrightarrow	Very small breeding range rendering it susceptible to stochastic events and human impacts. Global population is either stable or increasing.	Most recent data supports stable trend
Grey-headed Albatross	Thalassarche chrysostoma	29		В	94,603	VU	4	Declining at a rapid rate over three generations (90 years), probably largely owing to incidental mortality on longline fisheries. If the severe declines observed at some sites also occur elsewhere, the species would warrant uplisting to EN.	Need more data from Crozet, Kerguelen and southern Chile
Salvin's Albatross	Thalassarche salvini	12	NZ	А	31,874	VU	?	May have undergone a rapid decline, but different census methods make a comparison of the available data potentially misleading. However, breeding is largely restricted to one tiny island group, where it is susceptible to stochastic events.	
Southern royal Albatross	Diomedea epomophora	4		В	7,886		\leftrightarrow	Very small range, breeding on four islands, although largely confined to just one, therefore highly susceptible to stochastic effects and human impacts.	
Spectacled	Procellaria	1	UK	Α	14,400	VU	<u> </u>	Despite apparent population increases, significant numbers are caught as	Need accurate,

Scientific name	of sites	Country	Breeding Frequency	Annual breeding pairs (ACAP) 1	IUCN Status 2011	IUCN Trend	IUCN Justification ²	ACAP notes
conspicillata							bycatch in longline fisheries, and, owing to its very small breeding range, it is highly susceptible to stochastic events and human activities.	continuous data to confirm trend
Diomedea exulans	28		В	8,276	VU	V	Overall, past and predicted future declines amount to a rapid population reduction over a period of three generations. This species is undergoing a rapid decline in the South Atlantic, as well as on the Crozet and Kerguelen Islands.Longline fishing is believed to be a main cause of decline, causing reductions in adult survival and juvenile recruitment, and this threat is	
Procellaria westlandica	1	NZ	Α	4,000	VU	\leftrightarrow	Restricted to one very small area when breeding, rendering the population	Need more data to confirm trend
Procellaria aequinoctialis	73		А	1,057,930	VU	V	Suspected rapid declines, although almost no reliable estimates of historical populations exist. Very high rates of incidental mortality in longline fisheries are suspected in recent years; the probability that these circumstances will continue and its susceptibility to predation and loss of breeding habitat indicate a rapid and substantial population decrease is likely.	Need more data to confirm trend. Numbers not known for Prince Edward Islands and Antipodes.
Thalassarche bulleri	10	NZ	А	29,948	NT	\leftrightarrow	Although the species is restricted to a tiny small area when breeding, the population is stable and the islands on which it breeds are moderately widely spread.	
Procellaria cinerea	17		А	79,570	NT	\	Although there are no current trend data, this species is susceptible to introduced mammalian predators, and today it is the most commonly caught bycatch species in longline fisheries in New Zealand waters. Evidence from Gough Island, formerly thought to contain the largest population of this species, suggest that the species is likely to be subjected to considerable predation from introduced mice that are a major predator on other winterbreeding seabirds. The population on the Kerguelen Islands may also be in decline due to fishery bycatch. Based on these data a moderately rapid decline is suspected, but further data are urgently required in order to more accurately assess its population numbers and trends.	Need data for most sites, Prince Edward Islands numbers unknown.
Phoebetria palpebrata	71		В	,		\	May be declining at a moderately rapid rate, owing to bycatch on longline fisheries and perhaps the impacts of introduced predators. Threats and population status both remain poorly known.	Need data for most sites. Numbers not known for Auckland Island or South Atlantic. Albatross Island
	conspicillata Diomedea exulans Procellaria westlandica Procellaria aequinoctialis Thalassarche bulleri Procellaria cinerea	Scientific name of sites (ACAP) conspicillata Diomedea exulans Procellaria westlandica Thalassarche bulleri Procellaria cinerea 17 Phoebetria palpebrata of sites (ACAP) 28	Scientific nameof sites (ACAP)Country Endemicconspicillata28Diomedea exulans1NZProcellaria westlandica73Thalassarche bulleri10NZProcellaria aequinoctialis17	Scientific name of sites (ACAP) Endemic Frequency Conspicillata Diomedea exulans Procellaria mestlandica Thalassarche bulleri Procellaria cinerea 1 NZ A Breeding Frequency B B Procellaria 71 NZ A A	Scientific name of sites (ACAP) Endemic Country (ACAP) 1 Conspicillata Diomedea exulans Procellaria westlandica Thalassarche bulleri Procellaria cinerea 17 Phoebetria palpebrata Number of sites (Country Endemic) Eneeding pairs (ACAP) 1 Requinoctialis Frequency (ACAP) 1 Requinoctialis Frequency (ACAP) 1 Requinoctialis Frequency (ACAP) 1 Requinoctialis Procellaria A 4,000 A 4,000 A 29,948	Scientific name of sites of sites (ACAP) status 2011 Conspicillata Diomedea exulans Procellaria westlandica Thalassarche bulleri Procellaria cinerea 17 Phoebetria palpebrata Number Country Endemic Prequency Prequency (ACAP) 1 Breeding pairs (ACAP) 1 Status 2011 Note of sites Country Endemic Prequency (ACAP) 1 Status 2011 Note of sites Country (ACAP) 1 Status 2011 Note of sites Country (ACAP) 1 Note of sites Country (ACAP) 1 Note of sites (ACAP) 1 Note of sites (ACAP) 1 Status 2011 Note of sites (ACAP) 1 Note	Scientific name of sites of sites (ACAP) Endemic Frequency breeding pairs (ACAP) 1	Scientific name of sites Country (ACAP) Endemic Of sites Country (ACAP) Endemic Of sites Country (ACAP) Endemic Prequency Pairs (ACAP) 1

Common name	Scientific name	Number of sites (ACAP)	Country	Frequency	Annual breeding pairs (ACAP) 1	IUCN Status 2011	IUCN Trend	IUCN Justification ²	ACAP notes
	cauta							human activities, although one nesting site is moderately widely separated from the other two.	stabilising after an increase, but less than half of historic size. PedraBranca declining. Largest population on Mewstone unknown.
White-capped Albatross	Thalassarche steadi	5	NZ	?	74,885	NT		Trend of this species is poorly known. Given its longevity and slow productivity, and a high rate of mortality recorded in longline and trawl fisheries, it may be declining at a moderately rapid rate.	Most recent data indicates a decline
Northern Giant Petrel	Macronectes halli	50		A	10,856	LC	个	Had been predicted to undergo a moderately rapid population decline in the near future but has instead shown a significant increase during the past two decades (probably owing to greater availability of carrion from expanding populations of fur seals, increased waste from commercial fishing operations, and the use of measures to reduce seabird bycatch around some breeding colonies).	Need more recent data for some sites
Southern Giant Petrel	Macronectes giganteus	119		А	47,156	LC	↓	Recent analysis of trend data for the global population over the past three generations (64 years) gives a best case estimate of a 17 % increase and a worst case scenario of a 7.2 % decline (Chown et al 2008 unpubl.report to SCAR).	

¹ ACAP database. <<u>data.acap.aq</u>>. 24 February 2012. ²IUCN 2011. *IUCN Red List of Threatened Species*. Version 2011.2. <<u>www.iucnredlist.org</u>>. Downloaded on 27 February 2012.