



CCSBT-EC/2210/11

## **Report from the Fourteenth Meeting of the Ecologically Related Species Working Group**

### **(1) Purpose**

To consider the Report of the Fourteenth Meeting of the Ecologically Related Species Working Group (ERSWG 14).

The Chair of the ERSWG will provide a presentation to the Extended Commission (EC) containing the recommendations and advice from ERSWG 14.

This paper provides a summary of the recommendations, advice and other matters from the ERSWG 14 meeting.

### **(2) Report of ERSWG 14**

The ERSWG met from 21-25 March 2022. The full report of the ERSWG 14 meeting is provided to CCSBT 29 as CCSBT-EC/2210/Rep04. A summary of the recommendations and advice from ERSWG 14 is provided below.

#### ***Recommendations to the Extended Commission from ERSWG 14***

The ERSWG recommended that the EC adopt:

1. The proposed template in Attachment B of paper CCSBT-ERS/2203/07 for summarising key points of ERSWG reports for provision to other tuna RFMOs, which is provided here at **Attachment A**.
2. The Draft Multi-year Seabird Strategy, which is provided here as **Attachment B**. The overall objective and 5 specific objectives of this strategy were agreed by the EC in 2019. The revised strategy contains actions under each of specific objectives that were developed and agreed during ERSWG 14.

#### ***Advice to the Extended Commission from ERSWG 14***

The ERSWG provided the following advice to the EC:

1. The European Union had advised prior to the meeting that it would not be attending and South Africa did not attend.
2. Neither EU nor South Africa submitted an annual report to the ERSWG.
3. The ERSWG did not seek to amend its previous advice that the level of interaction between seabirds and SBT fisheries is still a significant level of concern.

4. The ERSWG noted that ACAP has updated its advice concerning the most effective ways to reduce seabird bycatch in pelagic longline fisheries. This still includes the use of the following three best practice measures simultaneously: branch line weighting, night setting and bird scaring lines. In addition, the use of any of three assessed hook-shielding devices or the use of a newly assessed underwater bait setting device have now been recommended as suitable alternatives.
5. The meeting confirmed its previously agreed advice for all shark species caught in SBT fisheries, that there were currently no specific concerns about shark bycatch that warranted additional mitigation requirements.
6. The ERSWG considered the report of the CCSBT Performance Review. A total of 37 recommendations were initially determined to be of potential relevance to the scope of the ERSWG. These were provided to members prior to the meeting for comment on 5 aspects:
  - Whether you consider this to be an appropriate recommendation for the ERSWG to consider (i.e. within the ERSWG's scope).
  - Priority of the recommendation from your perspective.
  - The level of action required for the recommendation.
  - Which CCSBT body is recommended to take the lead for implementing the recommendation?
  - Pertinent comments relating to the recommendation.

The responses were collated by the Secretariat and considered during the meeting. The responses were then reviewed and important recommendations were considered to those for which:

- At least half the Members view the recommendation as appropriate for the ERSWG to consider;
- At least half the Members consider the recommendation to be a medium or high priority;
- Some new actions were considered to be necessary to implement the recommendation; and
- At least half the Members considered the ERSWG should take the lead in implementing the recommendation.

Using these criteria, the following seven recommendations were considered as being most important from the ERSWG's perspective and required new action, noting that even with these recommendations, there were some differences of views between Members:

- PR2021-6 - *Consider the feasibility of a collaborative programme (between RFMOs and institutions with competency in biodiversity conservation) to forecast the likely impacts of climate change on tuna ecosystems, SBT, ERS, and their productivity, distribution, and resilience;*
- PR2021-8 - *Conduct capacity building programs to improve data collection and reporting, in particular in developing countries;*
- PR2021-11 - *Establish mechanisms to improve consistency and avoid ambiguity in national reports;*
- PR2021-20 - *Establish a clear and concise bycatch policy and management strategy;*

- PR2021-27 - *Strengthen the implementation of current measures to reduce bycatch, particularly of seabirds, and explore the potential for an incentivised mechanism to combat an increase in bycatch and address the impact of fisheries on living marine resources and the ecosystem;*
  - PR2021-30 - *Identify and analyse compatibility issues and risks associated with adopting resolutions from other RFMOs, especially in monitoring, compliance, and surveillance for ERS, and develop mitigation measures and strategies; and*
  - PR2021-54 - *Review the reporting templates periodically.*
7. The EC has requested that the ERSWG consider the need for annual meetings and provide advice to the EC on how CCSBT's focus on ERS can be improved, particularly on seabird bycatch. Recommendation PR2021-05 from the Performance Review expressed a similar view. The ERSWG discussed this matter and agreed that more regular meetings should be held but to have a hybrid approach for future ERSWG meetings. This involves having a full, face-to-face ERSWG meeting every second year and scientific technical meeting(s) in the intersessional years.
  8. It was agreed that national reports would only be submitted by Members to the full meetings of the ERSWG every second year. The intersessional meetings can be held virtually and would focus on specific technical priorities agreed by the Members.

### ***Other matters***

Some other matters were considered by ERSWG 14, that were not included in its formal recommendations and advice from the ERSWG but are nonetheless worth noting. These included:

- The ERSWG plans to conduct a collaborative seabird spatially explicit fisheries risk assessment (SEFRA) amongst Members. The SEFRA will be one of the main focuses of ERSWG hybrid technical meetings planned for 2023.
- The ERSWG noted that some species of sharks have been nominated to CITES for consideration to be listed. It was suggested that CITES recommendations should be better incorporated into RFMOs, and that CCSBT should strive to improve engagement with the CITES Secretariat
- There was discussion of the reporting of night setting, noting that Members use a different definition of night sets in their reporting of night setting to the ERSWG Data Exchange. No conclusion was reached on a uniform method for defining night sets for ERSWG Data Exchange reporting purposes

### ***Timing of the next ERSWG meeting***

The ERSWG agreed that two hybrid<sup>1</sup> scientific technical meetings should be conducted during 2023. One of these will focus on data provision for SEFRA and the other will focus on updating SEFRA. These will be highly technical meetings, and it was considered that interpretation would not be required. The Secretariat will liaise with Members to determine the timing, duration, location and other details relating to these meetings to enable a budget to be submitted to CCSBT 29.

---

<sup>1</sup> Allowing participants to attend either in-person or online.

The ERSWG proposed that the next annual meeting of the ERSWG be held in approximately two years.

**Prepared by the Secretariat**

**Summary of Key Points from the**

**## Meeting of the CCSBT Ecologically Related Species Working Group (ERSWG)**

The ## meeting of the ERSWG met from DD - DD Month, YYYY, Location. The full report of the ## meeting is available from the CCSBT website at:

[Link to the ## meeting](#)

The ERSWG meeting provided the following recommendations to the Extended Commission (EC) of the CCSBT:

*{The ERSWG recommendations to the EC are to be copied to here, with minor editorial modifications (e.g., footnoting acronyms) where necessary to reflect the fact that this a standalone summary.}*

The ERSWG meeting also provided the following advice to the EC

*{The ERSWG advices to the EC are to be copied to here, with minor editorial modifications (e.g., footnoting acronyms) where necessary to reflect the fact that this a standalone summary.}*

For background and contextual purposes, the primary Ecologically Related Species (ERS) measures of the CCSBT are described briefly below, together with links to the full measures.

- [Resolution to Align CCSBT's Ecologically Related Species Measures with those of other tuna RFMOs](#). Amongst other things, this binding Resolution requires CCSBT Members to implement Specified ERS measures of the IOTC<sup>2</sup>, WCPFC<sup>3</sup> or ICCAT<sup>4</sup> when fishing for southern bluefin tuna in the area of competence of these RFMOs. The Specified ERS measures are provided in Annex I of this Resolution, which is updated on an annual basis for changes to the measures of these RFMOs.
- [Recommendation to Mitigate the Impact on Ecologically Related Species of Fishing for Southern Bluefin Tuna](#). This recommendation encourages CCSBT Members to implement the International Plan of Action (IPOA) for Reducing Incidental Catches of Seabirds in Longline Fisheries, the IPOA for the Conservation and Management of Sharks, and the FAO Guidelines to reduce sea turtle mortality in fishing operations, if they have not already done so. The recommendation also authorises the CCSBT Secretariat to collect and exchange relevant data concerning ERS with the Secretariats of the IOTC, WCPFC and ICCAT. Finally, this recommendation tasks the EC or its subsidiary bodies as appropriate to undertake an assessment of the risks to ecologically related species posed by fishing for southern bluefin tuna, and for the EC to consider whether any additional measures to mitigate risk are required.
- [Ecologically Related Species Working Group Data Exchange \(ERSWG Data Exchange\)](#). This measure specifies the ERS Data to be provided by Members and the frequency and timeframe for provision of data.
- Requirements for reporting ERS information in national reports to [meetings of the Ecologically Related Species Working Group](#) (ERSWG) and to [annual meetings of the Compliance Committee and EC](#).

---

<sup>2</sup> Indian Ocean Tuna Commission

<sup>3</sup> Western and Central Pacific Fisheries Commission

<sup>4</sup> International Commission for the Conservation of Atlantic Tunas

## **Multi-year Seabird Strategy**

### ***Introduction***

The Ecologically Related Species Working Group commenced consideration of a multi-year seabird strategy at ERSWG12.

ERSWG has decided that the seabird strategy should, among other things:

- consider research, monitoring needs
- include actions for reducing uncertainty and associated risks
- consider recommendations from the *Report of the Effectiveness of Seabird Mitigation Measures Technical Group* (CCSBT-ERS/1503/Rep1) (the SMMTG Report), as modified by ERSWG11 (CCSBT-ESC/1509/Rep2, Att. 4), noting progress in implementing the recommendations (CCSBT-ERS/1905/05)
- take account of the *International Plan of Action for reducing incidental catch of seabirds* (IPOA-S) (FAO 1999) and associated best practice technical guidelines (BPTG) (FAO 2009).

The Extended Commission for the Conservation of Southern Bluefin Tuna has adopted a *Resolution to align CCSBT's Ecologically Related Species measures with those of other tuna RFMOs* (CCSBT25: Noumea, New Caledonia, 15–18 October 2018). This binding Ecologically Related Species (ERS) measure requires CCSBT Members to implement the ERS measures of other relevant Regional Fisheries Management Organisations (RFMOs) as part of the CCSBT's determination to mitigate incidental harm to ERS caused by fishing for southern bluefin tuna (SBT).

ERSWG remains of the view that the level of interaction between seabirds and SBT fisheries is still a significant level of concern. Some seabird species, particularly some albatross and petrel species, are threatened with global extinction (CCSBT-ERS/2203/16).

ERSWG continues to progress the development of the multi-year seabird strategy. The EC has agreed to the overall objective and five specific objectives for the strategy. ERSWG has developed actions under each of the specific objectives. ERSWG has also developed the approach to implementation and evaluation of the strategy. ERSWG proposed that the seabird strategy be implemented taking account of the General Principles of the *Agreement for the Implementation of the Provisions of the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea Convention of 10 December 1982 Relating to the Conservation and Management of Highly Migratory Fish Stocks and Straddling Fish Stocks* (UN Fish Stocks Agreement).

ERSWG will continue work on the multi-year seabird strategy, including through intersessional consultations.

### ***Overall objective***

This strategy's overall objective is:

To reduce or eliminate seabird bycatch, such that SBT fisheries do not impose a significant adverse impact on seabirds.

### ***Specific objectives***

To achieve the overall objective, the following specific objectives have been developed consistent with the International Plan of Action for Reducing Incidental Catch of Seabirds, and associated Best Practice Technical Guidelines (BPTGs), that recommend RFMOs establish attainable objectives that lead to ongoing reductions in seabird mortality (FAO 1999, 2009).

*Objective 1:* To reduce the level of impact of seabird bycatch by SBT fishing operations on seabird populations.

*Objective 2:* To ensure the collection of timely, reliable, representative data to support accurate regular estimations of total seabird mortality in SBT fisheries and its impact on seabird populations.

*Objective 3:* To develop and refine, in collaboration with industry and ACAP, practical, cost-effective and safe seabird bycatch mitigation technologies and techniques.

*Objective 4:* To develop and refine compliance approaches to ensure fleet-wide compliance with seabird bycatch mitigation measures required while conducting fishing for SBT.

*Objective 5:* To enhance education and outreach programs highlighting the importance of mitigating seabird interactions while fishing, and advocating effective implementation of mitigation measures.

### ***Actions to achieve the specific objectives***

The following actions will be undertaken against each of the specific objectives.

*Objective 1: To reduce the level of impact of seabird bycatch by SBT fishing operations on seabird populations.*

No.	Action	Action by	Timeframe
1A	<p>To agree on a SBT seabird bycatch target for reducing the level of impact of SBT fishing operations on seabird populations, including, but not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Targets based on nominal reported seabird bycatch rates.</li> <li>b. Targets based on SEFRA outputs.</li> </ul>	ERSWG	ERSWG15
1B	<p>That a minimum level of 10% observer coverage is achieved on a fleet-by-fleet basis for SBT fisheries or a comparable minimum level of review of video footage collected using electronic monitoring</p>	CCSBT Members	Ongoing
1C	<p>Evaluate the effectiveness of the seabird CMMs introduced around 2005 by tuna RFMOs, in the context of reducing the overall seabird mortalities, taking into consideration fleet differences and seabird distributions and identify the areas for improvement. The outcomes from the evaluation will be communicated across tuna RFMOs and used as a basis for future evaluations.</p>	ERSWG	Within 2 years, after that every 5 years
1D	<p>Agree on the list of priority species and corresponding management targets, taking into account the status of seabird population, distributional overlaps with SBT fisheries, and significance of SBT fisheries in their mortality.</p>	ERSWG, CCSBT	Within 2 years
1E	<p>Update SEFRA seabird risk assessment to evaluate the progress in seabird bycatch mitigation by SBT fisheries and their impacts on seabird populations from the previous assessment in 2019. The results to be communicated across tuna RFMOs.</p>	ERSWG	ERSWG 15, after that every 2 years
1F	<p>Establish a robust definition of <i>high risk</i> areas that takes into account the precautionary approach by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Establishing a definition of <i>high-risk</i> areas.</li> <li>b. Identifying areas that meet the definition.</li> <li>c. Characterising the nature of the risk in each area.</li> <li>d. Developing tailored measures aimed at reducing those risks.</li> </ul>	ERSWG, CCSBT	Within 2 years



**Objective 2:** To ensure the collection of timely, reliable, representative data to support accurate regular estimations of total seabird mortality in SBT fisheries and its impact on seabird populations.

No.	Action	Action by	Timeframe
2A	Define improved protocols for reporting and analysing fishing effort data in the context of estimating seabird bycatch and its impacts on seabird populations, including concerning any implicit assumptions used when raising data.	ERSWG	Within 2 years
2B	Report and disseminate annually numbers of incidentally caught seabirds by species according to agreed reporting standards, total and observed effort, and mitigation use, according to agreed formats and strata.	CCSBT Members, Secretariat	Annually
2C	Explore options for the use of electronic monitoring systems by: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Including seabirds (and other ERS) in discussions and the development of electronic monitoring systems.</li> <li>b. Considering electronic monitoring systems that contribute to, among other things, the effective monitoring of the implementation of seabird mitigation measures, and seabird interaction levels, throughout SBT fisheries.</li> </ul>	ERSWG, CC, SC, ACAP, other tuna RFMOs	Within 3 years
2D	Explore methodologies and techniques for estimating seabird mortalities in a timely and reliable manner, based on best available information and technologies, and not limited to observers and electronic monitoring.	CCSBT Members	Ongoing
2E	Agree on the CCSBT standard protocols for collecting feather samples and photographing dead bycaught seabirds, based on ACAP guidance.	ERSWG	ERSWG 15
2F	Review observer coverage of each stratum and fishing fleet to identify gaps and where additional coverage is needed concerning seabird bycatch.	CCSBT Members	At each ERSWG
2G	Update guidance for observers to include electronic monitoring seabird related task priorities including how to allocate time appropriately, recognising the multiple tasks undertaken, where applicable.	ERSWG	ERSWG 15

2H	Review procedures and protocols to facilitate improved reporting of seabird interactions to species level by: a. Consistent reporting of seabird interactions across SBT fishing fleets. b. Removing any ambiguity about species groupings.	ERSWG, CC, BirdLife International	Within 2 years, after that every 5 years
2I	Consider options for the use of fishing vessel logbook records of seabird interactions by examining the potential for logbook records to supplement other seabird interaction information sources, where appropriate.	ERSWG, CC, ACAP, other tuna RFMOs	Within 3 years

*Objective 3: To develop and refine, in collaboration with industry and ACAP, practical, cost-effective and safe seabird bycatch mitigation technologies and techniques.*

No.	Action	Action by	Timeframe
3A	Encourage CCSBT Members to undertake and support research and development to refine practical, cost-effective and safe seabird bycatch mitigation technologies and techniques.	CCSBT Members	Ongoing
3B	Advocate for strengthened seabird CMMs relevant to SBT fisheries within tuna RFMOs, where appropriate, taking account of, among other things, the best practice advice provided by ACAP.	CCSBT Members	Ongoing
3C	Regularly monitor and identify changes in the spatial overlap of fishing effort for SBT and the distribution of seabird species, particularly threatened albatross and petrel species, and inform the relevant fisheries across tuna RFMOs.	ERSWG	At each ERSWG
3D	Assess the cumulative impacts of fishing for SBT on seabirds, particularly threatened albatross and petrel species, across tuna RFMOs including developing methods for extrapolating seabird bycatch levels and seabird bycatch rates to identify total mortalities and total mortality rates.	ERSWG	At each ERSWG
3E	Consider the development of protocols on potential management responses to high seabird bycatch events.	ERSWG, BirdLife International, ACAP	Within 3 years

*Objective 4: To develop and refine compliance approaches to ensure fleet-wide compliance with seabird bycatch mitigation measures required while conducting fishing for SBT.*

No.	Action	Action by	Timeframe
4A	Collate information from compliance programs of CCSBT Members on implementation of seabird bycatch mitigation measures in SBT fisheries on a fleet-by-fleet basis.	CCSBT Members, Secretariat	Annually
4B	Review procedures and methods to improve compliance by SBT fishing operators with seabird CMMs and reporting requirements concerning seabird interactions by: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Reviewing existing procedures and methods, including for in-port and transshipment at-sea inspections, and when other monitoring and surveillance technologies and techniques are used.</li> <li>Considering implementation, where appropriate, of additional monitoring and surveillance technologies and techniques.</li> <li>Considering options for management responses concerning non-compliance.</li> <li>Considering the development of options to enable, particularly for high seas SBT fishing fleets, the timely reporting of non-compliance events.</li> </ol>	CC	Within 2 years
4C	Review data collection forms and procedures across tuna RFMOs regarding compliance with seabird CMMs by longline fishing operators and develop harmonised format to communicate and advocate across tuna RFMOs.	CC	Within 2 years, after that every 5 years

*Objective 5: To enhance education and outreach programs highlighting the importance of mitigating seabird interactions while fishing, and advocating effective implementation of mitigation measures.*

No.	Action	Action by	Timeframe
5A	Share documents, formats and procedures for observer and electronic monitoring, seabird bycatch data collection through a centralised portal, e.g. the Bycatch Mitigation Information System hosted by the Western and Central Pacific Fisheries Commission.	Secretariat, BMIS	Ongoing

5B	Pursue collaboration across tuna RFMOs in capacity building in seabird bycatch monitoring and analyses.	CCSBT Members, Secretariat	Ongoing
5C	Explore options (if data are available) for the establishment of a reference DNA database for seabird species bycaught during fishing for SBT across tuna RFMOs.	CCSBT Members, ACAP, Seabird Experts	Within 2 years
5D	Support the establishment of a reference photographic database through a centralised portal, e.g. the Bycatch Mitigation Information System (BMIS) hosted by the Western and Central Pacific Fisheries Commission, for seabird species bycaught during fishing for SBT across tuna RFMOs. This may include involving volunteer networks and seabird specialists.	CCSBT Members, BMIS, Seabird Experts	Within 2 years
5E	Translate ACAP's seabird species identification guide into key languages (e.g. French, Indonesian, Korean, Spanish, and Taiwanese) and disseminate together with the other languages (e.g. English Japanese).	Common Ocean Project II, ACAP	ERSWG 15

### ***Implementation and Evaluation***

Effective implementation of the Seabird Strategy will be monitored through direct observer programmes, audited electronic monitoring systems, and other monitoring and compliance approaches at-sea and in port. This will ensure fishing operators fully and effectively implement their seabird bycatch mitigation obligations and accurately report any incidental catch of seabirds. Implementation will require sufficient capacity among individual CCSBT Members, and collectively, to collate, analyse and develop responses that avoid or minimise the incidental catch of seabirds in SBT fisheries.

The ERSWG, with assistance from CCSBT Members, will monitor the effectiveness of the Seabird Strategy. The progress of the Seabird Strategy will be evaluated at intervals of no more than four years, with the plan revised as appropriate. The strategy will remain in effect until the overall objective is achieved, with particular regard given to the reduction of seabird bycatch levels, and reduction in seabird bycatch rates.

### ***References***

- FAO (1999) *International Plan of Action for reducing incidental catch of seabirds in longline fisheries*. Rome, Italy
- FAO (2009) *Fishing Operations. 2. Best practices to reduce incidental catch of seabirds in capture fisheries*. Rome, Italy
- IUCN (International Union for Conservation of Nature) (2019) The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2018-2. Available at: <http://www.iucnredlist.org>