



Draft Revised Compliance Plan Including a Review of Compliance Risks

1. Introduction

The CCSBT Compliance Plan supports the CCSBT Strategic Plan and consists of five parts:

- Goals and Strategies,
- Compliance Principles,
- Roles and Responsibilities,
- Plan implementation and review, and a
- Three -Year Compliance Action Plan (CAP)¹
(the first page of the CAP includes a list of compliance risks).

This paper addresses two items relevant to the CCSBT's Compliance Plan which are:

- 1) The Twelfth meeting of the Compliance Committee (CC12) requested a new annual standing item be added to the CC agenda for the Secretariat to review the list of compliance risks (refer to p1 of CAP) and consider emerging risks², as well as to report on what has been done to mitigate or better quantify those risks³; and
- 2) The main body of the Compliance Plan has not been amended since 2011, and therefore needs to be revised to, at a minimum, reflect updates that were made to the Strategic Plan in 2015.

The Secretariat has produced a draft revised Compliance Plan which includes a set of proposed updates to address items 1) and 2) above. It is provided at **Attachment A** for Members' consideration.

2. Compliance Risks

Review of Compliance Risks

Appendix 1 (the CAP) of the Compliance Plan includes a list of eight compliance risks that were most recently reviewed and revised by CC11 in 2016.

The Secretariat has reviewed this list of compliance risks as well as considered emerging risks as requested, and proposes that potentially the following two additional risks be added to page 1 of the three-year CAP:

- *Limited ability of some RFMOs to share relevant compliance information with each other due to confidentiality constraints and/or lack of relevant data exchange/ cooperation agreements;*
- *Limited information regarding fleet compliance with respect to binding and recommendatory ERS measures.*

These proposed additional risks are tracked on p1 of Appendix 1 as risks 9 and 10 respectively. No other revisions are proposed to Appendix 1.

¹ Appendix 1 of the Compliance Plan

² Refer to paragraph 104 of CC12's report

³ Refer to paragraphs 74 of CC12's report

Progress on Mitigation of/ Better Quantifying Risks

The Secretariat was also required to report back on what has been done to mitigate or better quantify the current list of compliance risks, and this is summarised in Table 1 below.

Table 1

Compliance Risk Number	Progress on Mitigating or Better Quantifying the Risk
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• The Secretariat has completed its annual analyses for its Compliance with Measures paper. These analyses include an in-depth analysis of Members' compliance with respect to CDS requirements (paper CCSBT-CC13/1810/04)• The Quality Assessment Review (QAR) process continues and currently includes an assessment of Members' performance against Minimum Performance Requirements (MPRs) for the CDS; a QAR was conducted for South Africa during 2018
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Non-compliance with the CDS and related matters have been analysed and presented by the Secretariat (refer to Compliance risk #1 above)• The Secretariat has highlighted that two Members have not yet complied with some or all of the requirements of CCSBT's Minimum Standards for Inspection in Port Measure
3	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• All reported SBT mortalities (actual or estimated) will be counted against national allocations from the 2018 fishing season onwards (reporting may not occur until 2019). Members have reported on the action they are taking to estimate all mortalities.
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• The Secretariat has been communicating with Trygg Mat Tracking regarding development of a project proposal to improve the CCSBT's understanding of the risk of IUU SBT fishing activities occurring in SBT fishing grounds, including potential undeclared transshipments (refer to paper CCSBT- CC13/1810/23)
5	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• During 2018 the Secretariat invested significant resources to follow up on alleged cases of unreported SBT catch and transhipment by a non-Member fishing fleet to an associated carrier vessel (refer to paper CCSBT- CC13/1810/20)• The Secretariat has also increased the time it has spent building closer relationships with relevant agencies in relation to suspicious or alleged SBT fishing activities (refer to paper CCSBT-CC13/1810/18)
6	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• As per compliance risk #5
7	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• The Secretariat has examined the annual summary of 2017 trade data available on the Global Trade Atlas (GTA) database and summarised its findings (refer to paper CCSBT-CC13/1810/20)
8	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• The Secretariat has considered Members' and Birdlife International's proposals on how mitigation measure checks might be included within Annex B (inspection reporting form) of The Resolution for a CCSBT Scheme for Minimum Standards for Inspection in Port (refer to paper CCSBT- CC13/1810/10)• Birdlife International will present a paper examining the potential for transhipment observer information to be used to help assess compliance with seabird mitigation measures by tuna longline vessels on the high seas (refer to paper CCSBT-CC/1810/Info 01)

3. Draft revised Compliance Plan

Action item 2 of the CAP specifies that the Compliance Plan should be reviewed between 2018 and 2019. The Secretariat has proposed only simple revisions (tracked) to the main body of the Compliance Plan (excluding Appendix 1) to reflect the amendments made to the Strategic Plan in 2015 – refer to **Attachment A**.

If more substantive changes to the Compliance Plan are required, these could be raised at CC13 and discussed further at CC14.

4. Summary

CC13 is invited to:

- Consider, review and decide whether to accept the attached draft revised Compliance Plan including the two additional proposed compliance risks 9 and 10 in Appendix 1;
- Recommend whether there are any further revisions to the Compliance Plan that need to be considered and drafted during 2019 and then subsequently reviewed by CC14.

Prepared by the Secretariat

CCSBT Compliance Plan

(Appendix 1: ~~Three-Year Action Plan~~ Revised at the Twenty-Fifth Annual Meeting: 18~~2~~ October 201~~8~~7)

Purpose

The Compliance Plan supports the CCSBT Strategic Plan, approved in ~~August~~October 201~~5~~4. Specifically, it supports the vision for Category C:

“Members are actively participating in management of SBT through the Commission, and implementing its decisions”.

The purpose of the Compliance Plan is to provide a framework for the Commission and Members to improve compliance, and over time, achieve full compliance with their CCSBT conservation and management measures.

The Compliance Plan includes a Three-Year Action Plan to address priority compliance risks. The action plan will be reviewed, and confirmed or updated at least every three years. The action plan willis therefore be a ‘rolling’ document and over time its emphasis will change.

Throughout this document references to Members include Cooperating Non-Members of the Extended Commission (CNMs), and all references to the Commission include the Extended Commission (EC).

Structure

This plan is in five parts:

1. Goals and Strategies
2. Compliance Principles
3. Roles and Responsibilities
4. Plan implementation and review
5. Three -Year Action Plan (Appendix 1)

Part 1: Goals and Strategies

Goals

The CCSBT Strategic Plan identifies four goals concerning participation and implementation by Members (Category C):

- ***Monitoring, control, and surveillance (Goal 8)***
Integrated, targeted and cost-effective monitoring, control and surveillance measures are in place to ensure the Commission's goals are met.
- ***Members' obligations (Goal 9)***
All Members comply with rules of CCSBT.
- ***Supporting developing countries (Goal 10)***
Developing country ~~m~~Members ~~and cooperating non-members~~ are able to comply with the Commission's management measures and other requirements.
- ***Participation in the CCSBT (Goal 11)***
Ensure that all ~~s~~States and Regional Economic Integration Organisations (REIOs) and entities catching SBT are incorporated in the Commission and engaged in the cooperative management of SBT. Encourage the cooperation of port and market States with CCSBT's objectives and management arrangements

Strategies

Strategies are the suggested approach to achieve the goals, and are numbered according to their corresponding goal.

The strategies below are based on the strategies set out in the CCSBT Strategic Plan (pages ~~14-15-16~~). In some cases the titles have been modified, and the descriptions elaborated. Strategy 8.4 has been expanded to explicitly cover monitoring of IUU fishing by non-members. ~~Strategy 8.7 (Research) is new.~~

8.1 Implementation by Members of agreed MCS measures

The Compliance Committee will monitor Members' implementation of CCSBT conservation and management measures. This will include ~~develop~~maintaining a comprehensive list of conservation and management measures, and Members regularly reporting against their obligations under the se measures. Member's~~s~~' reports will be analysed by the Compliance Committee, and Members will be questioned and provided with feedback on their reports. Independent audits will also be conducted (refer to strategy 9.1).

The Compliance Committee will continue to develop¹; and regularly review; existing compliance policies so that these clearly specify Members' obligations and associated performance requirements, e.g. the document, "Minimum Performance Requirements to Meet CCSBT Obligations"; ~~and provide Members with specific information on how their performance in meeting these obligations will be monitored.~~ Compliance policies will be adopted following agreement by the Commission.

¹ ~~Draft e~~Compliance policies guidelines have been ~~developed~~prepared for minimum performance requirements (CPG1), ~~audit (CP2)~~, corrective actions (CPG3), and information collection and sharing (CPG4).

8.2 ~~Develop and implement~~ Compliance Plan ~~an MCS strategy~~

New measures may be needed to address emerging compliance risks or replace ineffective or inefficient measures. The Compliance Committee will adopt a risk-management approach when developing measures and obligations to recommend to the Commission. This includes:

- a) assessing the necessity of additional MCS measures and/or improvement of agreed MCS measures to meet Commission objectives; and
- b) identifying any gaps between MCS measures in place and any improvements or additional measures required and a process to implement these, ~~and developing a plan for implementing any changes needed.~~

Recommendations for changes or additions to conservation and management measures will also include performance requirements.

8.3 Strengthen Members' compliance

Continue to strengthen efforts by Members to ensure sufficient compliance at each stage of SBT fisheries, from catch grounds to markets, including transshipment, farming and trade.

The Compliance Committee will continue to develop policies and guidelines to assist Members to plan and implement effective MCS systems and the cost-efficient delivery of compliance services. These policies and guidelines will be based on Members' obligations and be focussed on how best to avoid, remedy or mitigate the risks of not meeting obligations.

As part of this strategy, the Port State Inspection Resolution should be reviewed, taking into account the FAO Port State Measures Agreement and each Member's domestic laws and regulations.

8.4 Monitoring expansion of SBT markets

The Commission and Members will actively monitor any possible SBT catch/ mortalities fishing by Non-Cooperating Non-members (NCNMs) and/or the expansion of their SBT markets. This will include monitoring by MCS activities and regular review of SBT trade data.

Non-members and port States that are facilitating any fishing for SBT that is inconsistent with CCSBT obligations will be encouraged to cooperate with CCSBT measures. Action will be taken against IUU SBT fishing including the use of trade and market measures consistent with international law.

8.5 Exchange of compliance data

The Compliance Committee will continue to review/develop policies and rules to facilitate exchange and sharing of MCS information among Members, and with port, market and coastal sStates as appropriate. This will include reviewing/implementation of any necessary data confidentiality rules.

The Compliance Committee will promote the sharing of information amongst Members; other interested parties such as port sStates, market sStates, coastal States, other Regional Fisheries Management Organisations (RFMOs) and NGOs; and the public. This will include actively removing barriers to information sharing, developing systems to lower the costs of information sharing, and adopting policies that maximise open access to Commission information.

8.6 Secretariat MCS services

The Secretariat will provide the Compliance Committee with compliance policy and process advice, and assist with the specification and purchasing of shared compliance services.

This will include:

- a) [conducting](#) analysis of MCS data submitted, and reporting, on an annual basis, trends in MCS data;
- b) assessing the effectiveness of existing MCS measures based on data submitted to the Secretariat;
- c) managing and monitoring the CCSBT's compliance initiatives; and
- d) administration of compliance systems and programmes (for instance [the eCatch eDocumentation Scheme](#) and reporting).

~~To provide these services, appointment of a dedicated compliance officer to the staff of the Secretariat will be considered.~~

Subject to funding decisions, the Secretariat may provide the Commission with MCS services in circumstances where these can be provided cost-effectively and without conflicting with its core roles of Commission support, facilitation and information management. Such services may be provided through dedicated staff or contracted services.

8.7 Research & development

The Compliance Committee will recommend the commissioning of research on new technologies and methods aimed at facilitating implementation of MCS systems. Promising technologies will be trialled to assess their practicality and cost-effectiveness. Allocation of costs for such trials should be based on compliance risks and benefits. Depending on the technology and its application, trials may be funded by individual Members or collectively.

9.1 Auditing Members MCS systems and processes

Members' implementation, enforcement, and compliance with conservation and management measures and international obligations as they relate to CCSBT will be routinely audited.

The Compliance Committee will require Members to have their SBT MCS systems independently audited. ~~These~~ [audits](#) will focus on the systems and processes that each Member has implemented to meet its CCSBT obligations [as defined by Compliance Policy Guideline 1, the Minimum Performance Requirements \(MPRs\)](#). Audit reports will be made available to all Members. The purpose of these audits is to give the Member assurance on the adequacy of their MCS systems, identify areas of improvement, and assure the Commission that the Member is meeting its obligations.

9.2 Corrective action and remedies

The Compliance Committee will [apply the CCSBT's Corrective Actions Policy to breaches in the rules of the CCSBT and](#) establish ~~fair, transparent and non-discriminatory procedures for corrective actions—including penalties and~~ incentives—to promote compliance with CCSBT obligations.

The Compliance Committee will recommend an investigation where it has reasonable cause to believe that a Member is not complying with core conservation and management measures and obligations, in particular Catch Management Measures and MCS Measures. The results of an investigation will be considered by the Commission.

~~The Committee will consider the nature and extent of corrective action and/or remedies that shall be applied to a Member that is non-compliant with its CCSBT obligations. Depending on the particular circumstances and degree of non-compliance, the corrective action and remedies recommended may include:~~

- ~~• compliance assistance~~
- ~~• payback of overcatch~~
- ~~• quota reduction~~
- ~~• public disclosure~~
- ~~• increased monitoring measures (inspections, observers etc)~~
- ~~• trade or market restrictions (as consistent with international law).~~

10.1 Assist developing countries Members to comply with Commission requirements

The Compliance Committee will recommend that the Commission provide technical and financial assistance for Members to develop and implement MCS systems to meet their CCSBT obligations. Assistance may include:

- education, training and extension services
- technical consultancies
- sharing of services
- financial assistance.

The Compliance Committee will work with developing country Members to:

- a) identify areas where assistance would be beneficial to ensure they meet CCSBT obligations;
- b) identify ways in which assistance may be provided (e.g. up-skilling, secondments, workshops etc); and
- c) develop and implement a programme to assist developing countries with Commission requirements.

11.1 Inclusive cooperation

To promote broader implementation of CCSBT management measures, the Compliance Committee will:

- a) identify any NCNM SBT catches/ mortalities, and recommend that the cooperation of the relevant entities be sought;
- b) investigate and recommend ways of providing for the participation and/or cooperation of a wider range of actors such as Carrier Vessel Flag States that do not fish for SBT;
and
- a) identify non-member States that have, or are likely to become, important port, States ~~or~~ market or coastal sStates for SBT. These States will be nominated to the Commission for it to consider whether to seek their cooperation with CCSBT management measures.

Part 2: Compliance Principles

In implementing this plan, decisions will be guided by the following principles:

Encouraging compliance: Members should be encouraged to comply with their CCSBT obligations through implementation of effective compliance systems.

Deterrence: Effective deterrence should be used to detect and apply sanctions against IUU fishing.

Accountability: Members should be held publicly accountable for meeting their CCSBT obligations.

Openness and transparency:

- a) Compliance information should be available to all Members.
- b) Discussions should be inclusive of all Members.
- c) All compliance reporting documents should be publicly available as soon as practicable (subject to Rule 10 of CCSBT Rules of Procedure).

Cooperation and collective action: Members should cooperate, including through collective action, to facilitate effective monitoring and improve levels of compliance.

Incentives: Positive incentives should be used to encourage Members to monitor and improve their compliance systems.

Efficiency: Compliance obligations should be able to be met cost-effectively, and not impose unreasonable costs on Members.

Risk management: A risk management approach should be used to determine changes or additions to conservation and management measures, and the systems and processes to support those measures.

Part 3: Roles and Responsibilities

Members

- Actively participate in the Commission’s decision-making processes relating to policy, planning, and establishing conservation and management measures.
- Meet obligations and ensure compliance with the measures agreed to by the Commission.
- Maintain effective fisheries MCS systems and ensure that nationally-flagged vessels and authorised farms comply with the Member’s rules.²
- Report to the Compliance Committee on the implementation of measures and obligations and any areas where improvement is needed to achieve effective compliance with measures and obligations.
- Report any material non-compliance detected and remedial action taken.
- Implement any corrective actions or remedies agreed by the Commission.

Commission

- Approve Compliance Plan and Three-Year Action Plan.
- Determine any corrective actions and remedies.
- Consider recommendations from [the](#) Compliance Committee and make final determinations.

Compliance Committee

- Recommend policy frameworks, guidelines, and technical assistance, to facilitate effective and consistent implementation of CCSBT measures by Members.
- Monitor the performance of Members’ implementation of CCSBT measures.
- Carry out [an](#) annual compliance risk assessment.
- Review the Three-Year Action Plan (Appendix 1), based on identification of compliance risks, and recommend any updates.
- Recommend additions or changes to CCSBT obligations to address compliance risks.
- Review audit reports and recommend compliance audits.
- Recommend investigations of alleged serious non-compliance and, if necessary, recommend corrective actions or remedies.

Secretariat

- Facilitate constructive working relationships between Members.
- Facilitate inclusive, participative and transparent decision-making processes.
- Manage and distribute information that supports the role and responsibilities of Members and the Commission.
- Facilitate provision of educational, extension and technical services to support effective implementation of Commission measures.
- Prepare [summary and discrepancy variance](#) reports for the Compliance Committee.

² “Rules” include laws, regulations, and conditions on permits, licenses or authorisations.

- Provide advice to the Compliance Committee on compliance/ MCS policy, plans, guidelines and services.

Part 4: Plan Implementation and review

Implementation responsibilities

The Compliance Committee will be responsible for managing implementation of this plan under the direction and oversight of the Commission. This will include:

- annual [review/assessment](#) of compliance risks, and
- ~~annual~~[3-yearly](#) review and update of the Three-Year Action Plan.

The Compliance Committee will make recommendations on updates to the Action Plan, new obligations, policies, or other actions for consideration and determination by the Commission.

The Secretariat will provide technical and administrative support, and compliance policy advice, to both the Compliance Committee and the Commission.

Review

The Commission will review the Compliance Plan whenever the CCSBT Strategic Plan is reviewed. The Three-Year Action Plan (Appendix 1) will be reviewed by the Compliance Committee [at least](#) every [three](#) years.

Approval

~~This plan was approved by the Commission:~~

Chair, Commission

Date

Appendix 1. Three-Year Action Plan (2018-2020)

This Plan sets out actions under each Compliance Goal and Strategy for the period 2018 – 2020.

In October 2010 the Extended Commission (EC) agreed that the Compliance Plan should place special emphasis on managing specific compliance risks identified by the Compliance Committee on the basis of a risk assessment.

CC11 reviewed previously identified compliance risks and produced the following revised list of compliance risks that should be considered when developing the 2018-20 Compliance Action Plan (CAP) – the risks are listed in no particular order:

- 1) Non-compliance or incomplete implementation of the CDS;
- 2) Members not fully implementing the agreed Conservation and Management Measures of the CCSBT;
- 3) Incomplete reporting of SBT mortalities and not fully attributing all SBT mortalities (such as recreational catch, artisanal catches, discards, farm sector catches, non-farm commercial sector catches) against national allocations;
- 4) Risks associated with transshipments (both in port and at-sea), including difficulties in tracking product, preventing unauthorised introduction of product and the limitations of transshipment observers detecting infringements (including identification of SBT) when product is transhipped at-sea;
- 5) SBT being landed as other (non SBT) species;
- 6) Catches of SBT by Non-Cooperating Non-Members (NCNMs);
- 7) Expansion of markets for SBT that are not cooperating with the provisions of the CCSBT's CDS; ~~and~~
- 8) Incomplete or inaccurate reporting of non-SBT bycatches, including sea birds;
- 9) Limited ability of some RFMOs to share relevant compliance information with each other due to confidentiality constraints and/or lack of relevant data exchange/ cooperation agreements; and
- 10) Limited information regarding fleet compliance with respect to binding and recommendatory ERS measures.

Table 1 lists Project Action Items. The shaded cells in Table 1 on the following pages represent the year(s) in which the actions are planned to be undertaken.

Table 2 lists ongoing Maintenance Action Items.

Table 1: CAP Project Action Items

Goal 8 – Monitoring, control, and surveillance						
Integrated, targeted and cost-effective monitoring, control and surveillance measures are in place to ensure the Commission’s goals are met.						
Compliance Plan Strategy No.	Item Number	Priority Actions	Responsibility	2018	2019	2020
8.2 Develop and implement MCS strategy	1	Review areas of greatest compliance risk in order to facilitate a consistent and coordinated approach to compliance/MCS planning and prioritisation by Members and the Compliance Committee	Members	On-going		
8.3 Strengthen compliance (MCS systems and services)	2	Review the Compliance Plan	Members/ Secretariat			
	3a	CDS Resolution: a) It was agreed that the remaining issues in the draft revised CDS Resolution (of 2016) could be addressed intersessionally during 2018. If these issues have not been resolved, then consider rejecting the 2016 draft revised Resolution and decide whether to identify and incorporate any of the already agreed revisions (and/or any additional proposals) into a newly revised draft of the CDS Resolution for consideration	Members			
	3b	b) While reviewing the existing systems, determine and document future work priorities with respect to the CDS Resolution, in particular how the CCSBT plans to reduce the cost of introducing an eCDS in future, and when to commence its introduction.	Members			

Table 1: CAP Project Action Items *continued*

Goal 8 – Monitoring, control, and surveillance (continued)						
Integrated, targeted and cost-effective monitoring, control and surveillance measures are in place to ensure the Commission’s goals are met.						
Compliance Plan Strategy No.	Item Number	Priority Actions	Responsibility	2018	2019	2020
8.3 Strengthen compliance (MCS systems and services) contd.	4a	With regard to VMS information: a) Identify information gaps where, enhanced CCSBT VMS arrangements are necessary to strengthen CCSBT's existing Conservation and Management Measures, <i>e.g.</i> the ability to cross-reference VMS data against operational fishing data, including CDS and transshipment data, and	Members/ Secretariat			
	4b	b) Determine and implement appropriate VMS arrangements to make available Members' VMS data to address information gaps identified in a), and review CCSBT's VMS Resolution(s) and revise accordingly	Members/ Secretariat			
	5a	Review and revise the following CCSBT Resolutions as appropriate: a) the Resolution on Minimum Standards for Inspections in Port	Members/ Secretariat			
	5b	b) CCSBT's IUU Vessel Resolution, particularly the cross-listing provision, in order to facilitate the implementation of cross-listing with other tRFMOs/relevant organisations on a case by case basis as agreed by the Extended Commission	Members/ Secretariat			
	6	Consider options to effectively monitor seabird mitigation measures, including during inspections in port (Members) and as part of the transshipment observation programme (Secretariat)	Members/ Secretariat			

Table 1: CAP Project Action Items *continued*

Goal 8 – Monitoring, control, and surveillance (continued)						
Integrated, targeted and cost-effective monitoring, control and surveillance measures are in place to ensure the Commission’s goals are met.						
Compliance Plan Strategy No.	Item Number	Priority Actions	Responsibility	2018	2019	2020
8.5 Sharing compliance data	7	Review CCSBT’s MCS Information Collection and Sharing Policy (Compliance Policy Guideline 4) to ensure that it includes an efficient process by which available information/ intelligence that will assist with the identification of IUU fishing can be shared with the Secretariat and/or Members in a timely and secure manner	Members/ Secretariat			
8.7 Research & development	8	Regular report-backs on R and D on new technologies & tools to aid observers, certifiers, and validators to identify SBT (in particular once processed) to be provided by Members, in particular developments in the effectiveness and availability of practical on-site genetic testing kits for tuna species identification	Members			

Table 1: CAP Project Action Items *continued*

Goal 9— Members’ obligations						
All Members comply with rules of CCSBT.						
Compliance Plan Strategy No.	Item Number	Priority Actions	Responsibility	2018	2019	2020
9.1 Auditing Members’ systems and processes	9a	Continue to implement an integrated programme for conducting regular Quality Assessment Reviews (QARs) for each Member, as well as conducting ad hoc targeted QARs based on risk assessment advice: a) i) Aim to conduct at least 1 QAR each year until the initial round of QARs has been completed, and ii) request the Secretariat to research and draft a potential CCSBT Compliance Assessment process (and accompanying Measure) which distinguishes between trivial/non-trivial compliance matters, for future use alongside the existing QAR process, and which may be conducted independently.	Members/ Secretariat			
	9b	b) Once all of the initial round of on-site QARs have been completed, review the value of the information obtained and any remedial actions taken by Members, and determine whether to continue with the QAR process	Members			
	9c	c) If continuing with a new round of QARs: i) Review and revise the QAR terms of reference as appropriate to define: - which CCSBT measures should be assessed by future QARs, - a concise format for the presentation of future QAR executive summary information, ii) Determine whether any targeted ³ QARs need to be conducted	Members/ Secretariat			
9.2 Corrective action and remedies	10	Refine the corrective actions policy, for example by considering and then determining whether the policy should be further revised to publicly record instances of non-compliance identified (other than associated with the global SBT TAC) and the corrective action taken in response	Members/ Secretariat			

³ A ‘targeted’ QAR may be conducted in cases where there are concerns about a specific Members' systems and processes, in which case that Member may be nominated to participate in an ad hoc and specifically targeted QAR

Table 1: CAP Project Action Items *continued*

Goal 10: Supporting developing countries						
Developing country Members and Cooperating Non-Members are able to comply with the Commission's management measures and other requirements.						
Compliance Plan Strategy No.	Item Number	Priority Actions	Responsibility	2018	2019	2020
10.1 Compliance Assistance	11	Targeted analysis of capacity building needs and Compliance "missions" to assist developing State Members	Members/ Secretariat	As requested		

Table 2: CAP Annual Ongoing Maintenance Action Items

Goal 8 – Monitoring, control, and surveillance			
Integrated, targeted and cost-effective monitoring, control and surveillance measures are in place to ensure the Commission’s goals are met.			
Compliance Plan Strategy No.	Item Number	Priority Actions	Responsibility
8.1 Implementing agreed MCS measures	12	Continue to implement adopted Resolutions and Decisions	Members/ Secretariat
	13a	Maintain and enhance: a) the agreed list of conservation and management measures	Secretariat
	13b	b) the already developed Minimum Performance Requirements (MPRs), in particular the Routine Reporting Measures as existing Resolutions are revised, as well as developing new MPRs for any newly adopted Resolutions (e.g. the Resolution on large-scale driftnet fishing)	Secretariat
	13c	c) the associated consolidated national report template in which Members report their performance against the obligations and agreed MPRs	Secretariat
	14	Performance reporting system in place (the Secretariat's Compliance with Measures and Operation of CCSBT Measures reports)	Secretariat
8.3 Strengthen compliance (MCS systems and services)	15	Maintain and strengthen relationships with other Regional Fisheries Management Organisations (RFMOs) and international networks (such as the International Monitoring, Control and Surveillance Network)	Secretariat

Table 2: CAP Annual Ongoing Maintenance Action Items *continued*

Goal 8 – Monitoring, control, and surveillance (continued)			
Integrated, targeted and cost-effective monitoring, control and surveillance measures are in place to ensure the Commission’s goals are met.			
Compliance Plan Strategy No.	Item Number	Priority Actions	Responsibility
8.4 Monitoring expansion of SBT markets	16	Regular monitoring for emerging SBT markets, including reviews and trend analysis of SBT trade/market data.	Members/ Secretariat
8.5 Sharing compliance data	17	Share catch and effort data, and any other available information/ intelligence that will assist with the identification of IUU fishing	Members/ Secretariat - as required
8.6 Secretariat MCS Services	18	Analyse MCS data and report on trends (annually), as well as assessing the effectiveness of MCS measures based on the data submitted. These analyses should include an annual summary of any non-compliance detected with respect to the collection and provision of non-SBT bycatch information.	Members/ Secretariat
	19	Ensure all transshipment observers are trained in CCSBT obligations (in the event that SBT is involved), including any cross-endorsed WCPFC ROP transshipment observers	Secretariat

Table 2: CAP Annual Ongoing Maintenance Action Items *continued*

Goal 9— Members' obligations			
All Members comply with rules of CCSBT.			
Compliance Plan Strategy No.	Item Number	Priority Actions	Responsibility
9.2 Corrective action and remedies	20	Update CCSBT's public website with details of any instances of non-compliance with a Member's/CNM's allocation of the global SBT TAC, and the corrective action(s) that was/were taken by the Member/ CNM concerned	Secretariat

Goal 10: Supporting developing countries			
Developing country Members and Cooperating Non-Members are able to comply with the Commission's management measures and other requirements.			
CCSBT Strategic Plan Strategy No.	Item Number	Priority Actions	Responsibility
10.1 Compliance Assistance	21	Ongoing identification and sharing of best practice and information for MCS systems	Members/ Secretariat

Table 2: CAP Annual Ongoing Maintenance Action Items *continued*

Goal 11: Participation in the CCSBT			
Encourage the cooperation of port and market States with CCSBT's objectives and management arrangements.			
Compliance Plan Strategy No.	Item Number	Priority Actions	Responsibility
11.1 Inclusive cooperation	22	Identify (using trade and market analyses), as well as any other information supplied by Members, e.g. evidence of IUU SBT fishing, non-member port and market States whose cooperation should be sought	Members/ Secretariat
	23	As appropriate, nominate such States to the Commission	Members/ Secretariat